

Remarks

1. Summary of the Office Action

In the final Office Action mailed November 2, 2005, the Examiner rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,477,150 (Maggenti), and the Examiner rejected claims 1-6 and 18 on grounds of obviousness-type double-patenting over U.S. Patent No. 6,865,398. Further, the Examiner allowed claim 18.

2. Interview Summary

The undersigned held a telephone interview with the Examiner on December 12, 2005. During the interview, we discussed the allowance of claim 18. In particular, the undersigned noted that the "Office Action Summary" (page 1 of the office action) stated that claim 18 was allowed, but the office action stated at page 7 that claim 8 was allowed. In the interview, the Examiner confirmed that claim 18 was allowed, and that the reference to 8 was an inadvertent typographical error.

In addition, during the interview, the undersigned asked whether newly added claims depending from claim 18 would be allowed as well, and the Examiner indicated that they would, provided they were within the scope of the subject matter of claim 18, i.e., providing narrowing aspects of the existing elements of claim 18.

3. Response to Rejection

Applicant has cancelled claims 1-6 and has added new claims 19-20, which provide narrowing aspects of the existing elements of claim 18. Now pending in this application are claims 18-20, of which claim 18 is independent. Because the Examiner has indicated that claim 18 is allowable, Applicant submits that claims 19 and 20 are allowable as well.

Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer to overcome the obviousness-type double-patenting rejection of claim 18.

Accordingly, Applicant submits that claims 18-20 are now allowable, and Applicant requests issuance of a Notice of Allowance to that effect.

4. Change in Inventorship

Applicant also submits herewith a Request to Correct Inventorship Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b), requesting the Office to remove inventors Manish Mangal and Mark Yarkosky from this case, because their invention is no longer being claimed in this application due to cancellation of claims.

Should the Examiner wish to discuss this case with the undersigned, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at (312) 913-2141.

Respectfully submitted,

**McDONNELL BOEHNEN
HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP**

Date: December 16, 2005

By: 

Lawrence H. Aaronson
Reg. No. 35,818